To whom it may concern,

It is fantastic to see:

- The inclusion of the serious harm test (section 1(2)(b)).

- A single publication rule (section 30(3)) - meaning that the time limit for bringing defamation

claims is not reset every time a publication is shared, for instance by retweeting.

- The reduction of the time limit for bringing proceedings to one year (section 30(2)(b)).

- The Derbyshire principle (section 2), which prevents public bodies from bringing actions for

defamation, gain statutory footing.

- The introduction of a public interest defence (section 6).

However, as the draft stands:

- The public interest defence is weaker than in the Defamation Act 2013. The public interest

defence is new to Scots law, so it's fantastic to see its introduction, but it must be robust.

- Corporations would still have the right to sue. Defamation law was designed to protect the

rights of individuals: corporate bodies do not have a private life, personal identity or

psychological integrity. Corporations also have other means to defend themselves, such as

malicious falsehood and laws governing advertising, competition and business practices - they

do not need protection under defamation law.

Defamation law in Scotland needs to be as strong - or stronger - than the Defamation Act 2013.

We cannot support a law for Scotland that doesn't meet at least that standard.

Thank you. Louise Kelly

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit <a href="http://www.symanteccloud.com">http://www.symanteccloud.com</a>